

File With \_\_\_\_\_

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 01/04/2024  
fromColm & Ewelina Kavanagh I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000  
be/ not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 08/04/2024

## For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

S.A.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please prepare BP \_\_\_\_\_ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached  
submission

to: \_\_\_\_\_ Task No: \_\_\_\_\_

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP \_\_\_\_\_

EO: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AA: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

File With \_\_\_\_\_

## CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485 - 22

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please treat correspondence received on 01/04/2024 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant \_\_\_\_\_

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP \_\_\_\_\_

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐Amendments/Comments Cdm + Ewelina Kavanagh response to S.13112/03/24 - 02/04/24 ✓

## 4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(d) Screening ☐(b) GIS Processing ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐(c) Processing ☐RETURN TO EO ☐

	Plans Date Stamped <input type="checkbox"/>
	Date Stamped Filled in <input type="checkbox"/>
EO: <u>Pat B</u>	AA: <u>Anthony McNally</u>
Date: <u>08/04/2024</u>	Date: <u>25/04/2024</u>

## Fergal Ryan

---

**From:** Bord  
**Sent:** 02 April 2024 09:09  
**To:** Appeals2  
**Subject:** FW: Case Number: ABP-314485-22. DAA Night time Flights

-----Original Message-----

From: Colm Kavanagh <kavcolm@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 10:19 PM  
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>  
Subject: Case Number: ABP-314485-22. DAA Night time Flights

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Planning Authority Reference Number: F20A/0668

Colm & Ewelina Kavanagh  
1Airportside  
Forest Little Cloghran  
Swords  
Co.Dublin

Dear Sir/Madam

In the DAA response in the Noise information report they talk a lot about different noise level scenarios 50 dB and 55 dB. That might count for people who live miles away but it doesn't make any difference whatsoever to me and my family because we are only 170metres from the start of the north runway. Every time a plane revs up to take off we have nearly a 100dB of noise in the house plus the vibrations plus the smell of fumes. If it's a damp clammy day the smell doesn't go away. All this in an era of heightened awareness on the environment.

We availed of the noise insulation scheme, but that only consisted of windows and vents, nothing else. Our house is a dormer house and all the bedrooms are upstairs, there is no concrete walls upstairs to keep the noise out, only plasterboard slabs wool insulation and roof slates.

We are living in the red noise zone and it should be compulsory for the DAA to buy us out or do a major upgrade to make our house liveable at night. They have noise sensors here and there in the middle of fields where nobody lives gathering information. Why aren't they made to put the sensors at peoples houses where it matters then we will know for sure exactly what noise level we are dealing with and we won't be depending on vague scenarios made by someone miles away in an office.

I read the report on awakening as a response to noise during sleep by prof. Thomas Penzel. Another interesting report by Prof. Thomas Penzel should also be looked at before any decision is made, It's about the long term effects of exposure to loud noises on the cardiovascular system and the increased risk of heart attacks. This definitely needs to be looked at and studied as the decision to increase the flying hours is a serious one and also a long term one so the long term damage to health must also be explored to the full.

A better solution would be to move the airport to a green field site with no houses around. They could put in proper infrastructure to and from the airport and this would solve the other problems Dublin airport has coming down the line, lack of good transport links to and from the airport at the same time of wanting to increase passenger numbers from 32million.

Thank you.

Kind Regards

